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ABSTRACT

Background and aim: Helicobacter pylori (Hp) are found in half the population of the world. Its prevalence is highly variable in relation to geography, ethnicity, age, and socioeconomic factors—high in developing countries and lower in the developed world. This study aims to estimate the prevalence of Helicobacter pylori among patients in Duhok, and to identify factors associated with Helicobacter pylori among patients in Duhok.

Materials and method: A cross-sectional study was carried out throughout the present study among patients who attending to Duhok gastroenterology and hepatology center. The study included (80) samples of nurses for the period from 10th January to 5th December 2015. The study revealed that there were significant statistical results related to income, residency, water source and blood group to be considered as a risk factor of H. pylori infection.

Results: The study revealed that there were no significant statistical results related to age to be considered as a risk factor at (p<0.05). Among the 80 patients who completed data, the highest positive result was found in the age group of 20-30 years (68.18.7%) while the highest negative result was found in the age group of 50-60yr (42.86%).

Conclusion: There were significant difference between H. pylori infection and some variables like (age, sex, married status, level of education and smoking and coffee consumption and years of experience).
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INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori (Hp) is a spiral shaped micro-aerophilic Gram negative bacterium that colonizes the gastric mucosa and induces a strong inflammatory response with release of various bacterial and host dependent cytotoxic substances (Nedrud and Czinn, 1997). Helicobacter pylori, formerly known as Campylobacter pylori, colonizes and grows in human gastric epithelial tissue and mucus (Labigne and de Reuse, 1996). Its presence is associated with gastritis; substantial evidence indicates that it causes peptic ulcers, duodenal ulcers, and chronic gastritis and that it is also involved in the development of gastric cancer (McCull, 1997; Rieg et. al., 1995). This gram negative bacterium infects human gastric mucosa causing long term colonization and inflammation (Brown et. al., 2002). H. pylori, colonizes the human stomach, can cause gastritis, is strongly associated with gastric and duodenal ulceration (DU) and has been implicated in the causation of gastric carcinoma and mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphomas (Chun et. al., 2000). Moreover, Helicobacter pylori is well recognized as a class I carcinogen because chronic inflammation and atrophy can further lead to malignant transformation (Fox and Wang, 2007).

Helicobacter pylori (Hp) are found in half the population of the world (Goodwin et. al., 1997). Its prevalence is highly variable in relation to geography, ethnicity, age, and socioeconomic factors—high in developing countries and lower in the developed world. In general, however, there has been a decreasing trend in the prevalence of Hp in many parts of the world in recent years (Hunt et. al., 2010). Epidemiological studies have shown that a weakly positive correlation exists between chronic gastric infection with H. pylori and coronary heart disease (Danesh et. al., 1997).

Approximately 20% of persons infected with H. pyloridevelop related gastroduodenal disorders during their lifetime (Lacy and Semore, 2001). Documented risk factors include low socioeconomic status, overcrowding, poor sanitation or hygiene, and living in a developing country (Brown, 2000). The general purpose of this study is to identification and determination the socio-economic factors related to Helicobacter pylori infection who attending Duhok gastroenterology and hepatology center. The specific objectives of this study are to estimate the prevalence of Helicobacter pylori among patients in Duhok, and to identify factors associated with Helicobacter pylori among patients in Duhok.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

A cross-sectional study was carried out...
throughout the present study from the period 10th December to 5th January 2015 in order to achieve the objectives of the study. The study was carried out among patients who attending to Duhok gastroenterology and hepatology center. Prior to the data collection, a formal administrative approval was obtained from Duhok Directorate of the health / Duhok gastroenterology and hepatology center to conduct the present study. The study participants were dyspeptic patients from outpatients department with symptoms such as upper abdominal pain or discomfort bloating, nausea, vomiting epigastric pain for at least 3 months. The total study population was 80 Patients randomly selected eligible patients were subjected for blood sample collection. All patients were interviewed. Verbal consent was obtained from all patients. Study tool “questionnaire” was validated by a number of referees and based on literature review when developed by the researcher to ensure culture and language appropriateness. After the arrangement of information obtained from referees, the tools of the study consisted of two parts: the first part of the questionnaire contained questions regarding the demographic data (age, gender, residency, blood group and family income), the second part referred to selected features relating to the patients' lifestyle such as living conditions, smoking, coffee consumption, source of water and living with someone who has an H. pylori infection. About 2ml of venous blood was collected from each volunteer patient by venipuncture for H. pylori IgM serum determination. Artron rapid Helicobacter pylori (H.pylori, or HP, United Kingdom) anti body (immunochromatographic) assay used for the qualitative detection of H.pylori antibody in serum samples. This test coated on the surface of micro well. Three full drops of patient's serum was added to the well without air bubbles then read of color absorbance and result interpretation was done according to the manufacturer recommendations. Percentages were used to calculate the description of the sample. Chi-square test to determine the prevalence of H. pylori infection in the participants, and the difference in the prevalence across gender and stages. The differences between means were considered significant when P≤0.05.

RESULTS

Figure (1): Level of education

Table (1): Gender and H. pylori

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>H.pylori test</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24 (63.16%)</td>
<td>14 (36.84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>22 (52.38%)</td>
<td>20 (47.62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>46 (57.5%)</td>
<td>34 (42.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): H. pylori infection in relation to age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>H.pylori test</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>15 (68.18%)</td>
<td>7 (31.82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>13 (50%)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>8 (31.82%)</td>
<td>13 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>10 (55.56%)</td>
<td>8 (44.44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>8 (44.44%)</td>
<td>12 (55.56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>8 (57.14%)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>6 (42.86%)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>46 (57.5%)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): H. pylori infection rates in relation to the residency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residency</th>
<th>H.pylori test</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>15 (44.12%)</td>
<td>19 (55.88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>31 (67.39%)</td>
<td>15 (32.61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>46 (57.5%)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

A total of 80 volunteers participated in this study. Our study showed that H. pylori was positive in 46 (57.5 %) patients, 42 (52.5 %) of them were female and 38 (47.5 %) were male (Table 1).

H. pylori infection was higher (32.5 %) among patients aged (31-40) years than those patients aged (20-30) year (27.5%), those aged (41-50) year (22.5 %) and those aged (51-60) year (17.5 %) (Table 2). 46 patients living in rural and 34 from urban, and there are significant difference found between them (Table 3).

Figure (1) shows that there are no significant differences at (p<0.05) among the volunteers patients with regard to the level of education using chi-square-test.

Table (4) demonstrate that the high percentages of patients (75 %) never smoking, while the low percentages of patients (6.25 %) current smoking, and there are no significant difference found between them using chi-square-test.

Table (5) shows that the high percentage of the participants patients 42 (52.5 %) were from low income social class and there are significant difference found between them ($X^2=0.052$).

Table (6) describes the marital statuses of patients in the study sample. As evident from the table, the highest percentages of patients were married 60 (75 %), and there are no significant difference found between them ($X^2=0.433$).

With regard to water source, it appears from table (7) the source of drinking water had a strong effect on the prevalence of H. pylori infection. The prevalence of infection among Municipality water drinkers was 65 (81.25 %) compared with 6 (7.5 %) among those who...
drank Filtered water, and there are significant difference found between them (X² = 0.053).

Table (8) shows that there are significant differences at (p<0.05) among patients with regard to the blood group (X²= 0.074).

Figure (2) indicates that there are no significant differences at (p<0.05) among patients with regard to the consumption (X² = 0.952).

It appears from figure (3) that that there are no significant differences at (p<0.05) among patients with regard to the coffee consumption (X² = 0.569). Helicobacter pylori are recognized as the main etiological agent of gastritis in human beings and as an essential factor in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer. (Méraud and Lamouliatte, 1992).

In order to know whether there are effects of the socio-demographic factors of the patients on the positive result of H. pylori, the researchers categorized the sample of the study to many groups according to certain variables. As shown in table (1) there is no significant difference in the overall prevalence of H. pylori infection between males and females. This result is in agreement with (Rodrigues M) which indicated that there are no significant gender differences (p<0.05) (Rodrigues et. al., 2005). This finding is also supported by those reported from south-eastern and central south Brazil, and from Africa and India (Graham et. al., 1991). As has also been found in other studies made in developing countries, there were no gender differences in the risk of acquisition of infection (Sathar et. al., 1997).

The study revealed that there were no significant statistical results related to age to be considered as a risk factor at (p<0.05). Among the 80 patients who completed data, the highest positive result was found in the age group of 20-30 years (68.18.7%) while the highest negative result was found in the age group of 50-60yr (42.86%) (table 2). Studies conducted in Bhutan by Dorji et. al. (2013) and in China by Zhang et. al. (2013) documented identical prevalence with no statistically significance different among age group.

Figure (1) indicates that there are no significant differences among patients with regard to the level of education at (p<0.05). The highest positive results were in primary school level (61.54%) and the lowest positive result was in illiterate level (60%). This finding is in agreement with a study conducted in Brazil, the study showed that there were no significant differences in the prevalence of H. pylori infection, when patients were classified by educational level (Rodrigues et. al., 2005). Another study in south of Brazil conducted by Ina and Jose (2005) showed different results. In crude analyses, prevalence was associated with increasing lower education level. With regard to residency, it was revealed that there were significant differences among samples at (p<0.05). This result is an agreement with the results of Zhannat et. al. (2002), Which indicates that there were no significant differences among patients with regard to residency.

Also this result is an agreement with the results of Tajeldin et. al. (2014), which show in his study highlighted the high prevalence of H. pylori infection and its association with rural residency (table 3). Smoking is considered as a risk factor for many diseases and is implicated by several studies in the literature as a risk factor for H. pylori infection. However, the results of this study showed no statistical differences between smokers and non-smokers with regard to H. pylori infection (table 4). This result is an agreement with Murray et. al. (1997), which indicate that there were no significant differences association between smoking and H. pylori prevalence, and result is disagree with result of El-Barrawy (1997), which demonstrated that H. pylori infection prevailed mostly (70%) in smokers. According to odds ratio, the risk of infection was 5.3 times higher for smokers than nonsmokers.

There are reasons why smoking might have little effect on, or even increase, the hostility of the gastric environment to H. pylori. The acid gastric pH prevents most organisms from thriving or even surviving in the stomach. H. pylori, however, has an electropositive internal milieu; twice the number of basic amino acids, arginine and lysine, as Haemophilus influenzae and Escherichia coli; and powerful urease activity, with the ability to produce both ammonia and factors that inhibit parietal cell acid production (Tomb et. al., 1997). The study revealed that there were significant statistical results related to income to be considered as a risk factor at (p<0.05). Table (5). This result is in agreement with the results of Blaser (2004), which indicates that there were significant differences in the prevalence of H. pylori infection with regard to income level.

Figure (2) Show that there were no significant differences among patients with regard to the occupation at (p<0.05).This result is an disagreement with Matysiak-Budnik (1997), which shows an association between...
occupational exposure and an increased risk of infection.

The result of the study shows marital status is not a risk factor as shown in table (6), although a slightly higher percentage of H. pylori infection was observed among married than single subjects. However, the increase was not statistically significant ($X^2 = 0.433$). This result is a disagreement with the results of Bakka (2002), which showed a higher prevalence of H. pylori in married subjects (84%) in comparison to single subjects (68%).

The hypothesis of water being a route of transmission of H. pylori (Engstram, 2001). Our study shows that there were statistically significant relationship between source of water and prevalence of H. pylori infection ($X^2 = 0.053$) (table 7), and this result is supported by Klein (1991), which indicated the water supply was an important source of H. pylori infection in families with high or low social economic levels. With regard to coffee consumption, it was revealed that there were no significant differences among patients at ($p<0.05$) (Figure 3). Our result is an incompatible with result of Gamal (2013), which found in his study that coffee consumption is considered as a risk factor for H. pylori infection. The results of this study showed that there was a significant association between ABO blood groups and H. pylori infection ($X^2 = 0.041$), in which type O has a greater tendency towards infection (64.29%). These results are reinforced by data obtained from other researchers showing the greater susceptibility of blood group O to H. pylori infection (Kanbay et. al., 2005; Mattos et. al., 2002).

CONCLUSION

According to the results of the data analysis, the following conclusions have been inferred in our study we found that the incidence of H. pylori positivity was 57.5% and there was statistically significant difference between H. pylori infection and some variables like (residency, income level, water source, and blood group). There were significant difference between H. pylori infection and some variables like (age, sex, married status, level of education and smoking and coffee consumption and years of experience).
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